

Scrutiny Report



Performance Scrutiny Committee- Partnerships

Part 1

Date: 4 December 2019

Subject National and Regional Adoption Services

Author Scrutiny Adviser

The following has been invited to attend for this item:

Invitee:	Designation
Sally Jenkins	Head of Children and Young People Services

Section A – Committee Guidance and Recommendations

1. Recommendations to the Committee

The Committee is requested:

- a) To consider the Adoption Service Report and the following:
 - National Adoption Service Annual Performance Report 2018-19;
 - South East Wales Adoption Service Region End of Year Performance Report 2018-19.
- b) To determine whether it wishes to make any comments.
- c) To determine the timescale for future monitoring.

2 Context

Background

- 2.1 The Committee approved its Forward Work Programme on 26 June 2019 to include a report requested upon National and Regional Adoption Service in its November Committee Meeting. ***(A link to the Performance Scrutiny Committee – Partnerships held on 26 June 2019 Report and Minute is included in the Background Papers in Section 7 of this report.)***
- 2.2 This report is provided in accordance with the Regulations set out in the Local Authority Adoption Service (Wales) Regulations 2007 and the Adoption and Children Act 2002 (Joint Adoption Arrangements) (Wales) Directions 2005. It provides information about the Regional and National arrangements for adoption. The appendices provide further detail for Members again both regionally and nationally.

3 Information Submitted to the Committee

- 3.1 The following information is attached to the report for the Committee's consideration:

Appendix A - The Adoption Service Report (Submission of Evidence to Scrutiny)

Appendix 1 - National Adoption Service Annual Performance Report 18-19;

Appendix 2 - South East Wales Adoption Service Region End-Year Performance Report 2018-19.

4. Suggested Areas of Focus

4.1 Role of the Committee

The role of the Committee is to:

- **Consider the report upon Adoption Services and the following:**
 - National Adoption Service Annual Performance Report 2018-19;
 - South East Wales Adoption Service Region End of Year Performance Report 2018-19.

- **Assess and make comment on:**
 - The progress being made by the Regional and National Service in partnership working;
 - How effectively the Services worked together to date;
 - What mitigating actions have been put in place to address risks;
 - What barriers are there to achieving outcomes.

- **In drawing its conclusions, the Committee should assess:**
 - What was the overall conclusion on the information contained within the report?
 - Is the Committee satisfied that it has had all of the relevant information to base a conclusion on the National and Regional Plans?
 - Does the Committee wish to make any comments upon the Plans?
 - When should future scrutiny activity be undertaken.

4.2 Suggested Lines of Enquiry

In considering the report, the Committee may wish to explore some of the following areas of focus, which have been adapted from the Welsh Government Guidance on Scrutiny of the Public Services Board:

1. To what extent have objectives been achieved?
2. How effectively have Services worked together to achieve outcomes? What lessons have been learned as a result and how would they be fed back?
3. What have been the resource implications of partnership working? Has it maximised the resources available?
4. How has working together impacted on outcomes in line with the five ways of working from the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act?
5. Have there been any unintended consequences of joint working and if so what impact has this had?
6. How is service user experience being used to influence developments? What methods are being used to evaluate effectiveness and impact?

4.3 Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act

The Committee's consideration of the report should consider how working in partnership is maximising its contribution to the five ways of working. The following are examples of the types of questions to consider:

5 Ways of Working	Types of Questions to consider:
Long-term The importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to also meet long-term needs.	What long-term trends will impact upon service outcomes?
	How will changes in long term needs impact upon outcomes in the future?
Prevention Prevent problems occurring or getting worse.	What issues are facing service users at the moment?
	How are Services addressing these issues to prevent a future problem?
Integration Considering how public bodies' wellbeing objectives may impact upon each of the well-being goals, on their other objectives, or on the objectives of other public bodies.	Are there any other organisations providing similar / complementary services?
	How do the Services' performance in the Plans impact upon the services of other public bodies and their objectives?
Collaboration Acting in collaboration with any other person (or different parts of the organisation itself).	Who has the Service been working with to deliver outcomes?
	How are the Services using knowledge / information / good practice of others to inform / influence outcomes?
Involvement The importance of involving people with an interest in achieving the well-being goals, and ensuring that those people reflect the diversity of the area, which the body serves.	How have Services sought the views of those who are impacted by service delivery?
	How have Services taken into account diverse communities in decision-making?

Section B – Supporting Information

5 Supporting Information

- 5.1 The Characteristics of Effective Partnership Scrutiny set out in the Guidance for Local Authority Scrutiny Committees on the scrutiny of Public Services Boards issued by Welsh Government in August 2017 can equally be applied to the scrutiny of other Partnerships and states that:

“Research into the practice of collaborative or joint scrutiny in England and Wales identifies that arrangements are effective when they demonstrate the following characteristics:

Characteristics of effective partnership scrutiny:

- Scrutiny regards itself as a form of ‘critical friendship with positive intent’ in which scrutiny practitioners act as advocates for the success of joint working.
- Collaborative performance is evaluated from the citizen’s perspective.
- Strong efforts are made to understand the complexity of partnership arrangements and to facilitate learning about the culture and assumptions of different organizations.
- Scrutiny creates positive expectations by focussing on issues regarded as useful to the partnership or where there is consensus that ‘things need to change’.
- Scrutiny demonstrates intellectual independence and investigative rigour in all of its activities.
- Scrutiny demonstrates a positive impact by developing clear, timely, evidence-based recommendations aimed at enhancing collaborative performance.
- Scrutiny critically evaluates its own performance utilising partnership perspectives.

(A link to the full Welsh Government Guidance is included in Section 7 of this report in the Background Papers for Members’ information.)

6 Links to Council Policies and Priorities

- Newport City Council’s Corporate Plan and Wellbeing Objectives:

Well-being Objectives	Promote economic growth and regeneration whilst protecting the environment	Improve skills, educational outcomes & employment opportunities	Enable people to be healthy, independent & resilient	Build cohesive & sustainable communities
Corporate Plan Commitments	Thriving City	Aspirational People		Resilient Communities
Supporting Function	Modernised Council			

7 Background Papers

- Performance Scrutiny Committee – Partnerships [26 June 2019 Report \(Item 6\) and Minutes](#)
- [The Essentials – Well-being of Future Generation \(Wales\) Act](#)
- [Welsh Government Guidance for Local Authority Scrutiny Committees on the Scrutiny of Public Services Boards](#) (Issued August 2017)
- [Corporate Plan 2017-22](#)